

The Attralucian Essays:
Exploring the Finite



First Edition

Copyright © 2026 by Kevin R. Haylett. All rights reserved.

This work is shared under the Creative Commons Licence.

Creative Commons CC BY-ND 4.0 License.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>

This work is intended for academic and research use. Any unauthorized distribution, modification, or commercial use beyond the creative use license is strictly prohibited. Typeset in

L^AT_EX

The Attralucian Essays



The Liar Paradox: A Geofinitist Reinterpretation

Kevin R. Haylett

The Liar Paradox: A Geofinitist Reinterpretation

Overview

The Liar Paradox arises from self-referential truth attribution, producing an apparent contradiction: if a sentence asserts its own falsity, it can be neither consistently true nor false under classical bivalence.

This paper does not claim to resolve the paradox in a metaphysical sense. Instead, it reinterprets it within a Geofinitist framework, where truth is treated as a finite, measured, and context-dependent process. Under these conditions, the Liar is not contradictory but *indeterminate*, reflecting a failure of admissible stabilization.

Classical Formulation

Consider the sentence:

L : “This sentence is false.”

Under classical bivalence:

- If L is true, then it is false.
- If L is false, then it is true.

This produces a contradiction. Classical responses in-

clude:

- hierarchical separation of truth predicates,
- partial truth assignments,
- fixed-point semantics.

Source of the Paradox

The contradiction arises from:

- unrestricted self-reference,
- global truth evaluation,
- strict bivalence,
- absence of evaluation dynamics,
- lack of resource or stability constraints.

These allow a sentence to demand a fixed truth value where none can stabilize.

Geofinitist Principles Applied

1. Truth as Trajectory

Truth is not a static assignment but a trajectory through interpretive states, evolving across context and evaluation steps.

2. Measured Truth

Truth is represented as a measured quantity:

$$T(\sigma) = (v_\sigma, \varepsilon_\sigma), \quad v_\sigma \in [0, 1].$$

3. Layered Interpretation

Evaluation proceeds through finite layers (syntax, semantics, pragmatics), each updating the truth assignment.

4. Admissibility

A sentence is admissible if its truth value stabilizes under bounded evaluation.

5. Finite Evaluation

All truth assignments are computed within finite steps and bounded resources.

Geofinitist Reformulation

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of sentences. Define a measured truth valuation:

$$T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}, \quad T(\sigma) = (v_\sigma, \varepsilon_\sigma, P_\sigma).$$

Liar's Paradox

Define a three-zone decision rule:

$$\text{truth}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{TRUE} & v_\sigma \geq 1 - \delta, \\ \text{FALSE} & v_\sigma \leq \delta, \\ \text{INDETERMINATE} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Self-Reference and Dynamics

Define an update operator:

$$T^{(k+1)} = U(T^{(k)}).$$

For the Liar:

$$v_L^{(k+1)} \approx 1 - v_L^{(k)}.$$

This produces oscillation or convergence to:

$$v_L \approx \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus:

$$T(L) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon_L\right), \quad \text{truth}(L) = \text{INDETERMINATE}.$$

Stability Criterion

A sentence is classified only if:

$$|T^{(k+1)}(\sigma) - T^{(k)}(\sigma)| < \theta$$

over a finite window.

If no stabilization occurs, the sentence is assigned to the indeterminate band.

Inference Discipline

Inference is restricted:

- Only TRUE statements support deduction,
- Indeterminate statements do not propagate conclusions,
- Contradictions do not produce explosion.

Interpretation

Under this framework:

- Truth is a measured, context-dependent process,
- Self-reference is handled through dynamic evaluation,
- Contradiction is replaced by instability,
- Indeterminacy is a valid outcome.

Conclusion

The Liar Paradox does not demonstrate inconsistency in logic, but a failure of stabilization under unrestricted self-

Liars Paradox

reference. Classical systems address this through stratification or partial semantics.

Geofinitism reframes the issue operationally: truth must be computed, measured, and stabilized. Where stabilization fails, indeterminacy is reported. The paradox is thus reclassified as a boundary condition on admissible truth assignment.

Context. The Liar Paradox is treated as a failure of stable truth assignment under self-reference.

Measured Truth.

$$T(\sigma) = (v_\sigma, \varepsilon_\sigma, P_\sigma)$$

Decision Rule.

TRUE, FALSE, INDETERMINATE

Update Operator.

$$T^{(k+1)} = U(T^{(k)})$$

Liar Constraint.

$$v_L \approx 1 - v_L \Rightarrow v_L \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

Stability. If no fixed point emerges, assign INDETERMINATE.

Inference. No inference from indeterminate statements.

Collapse Note. Bivalence is recovered when self-reference is absent.

Interpretation. The paradox reflects instability, not contradiction.