

The Attralucian Essays:

Exploring the Finite



First Edition

Copyright © 2025 by Kevin R. Haylett. All rights reserved.

This work is shared under the Creative Commons Licence.

Creative Commons CC BY-ND 4.0 License.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>

This work is intended for academic and research use. Any unauthorized distribution, modification, or commercial use beyond the creative use license is strictly prohibited. Typeset in

L^AT_EX

The Attralucian Essays



The Geofinite Dissolution of the Invariant
Base

Kevin R. Haylett

Base Dissolution

From Alphons to the Spherical Geometry of Measured Numbers

This paper completes the Geofinite dismantling of the classical notion of *base invariance*. In traditional mathematics a number N is presumed independent of the base in which it is written. Geofinitism rejects this assumption. All representation is finite and embodied; hence every symbolic system carries its own geometry, uncertainty, and provenance. We replace the idea of a “base” with the measurable construct of the *Alphon*—a finite alphabet whose members, called *Nexils*, are **contained within** a minimal measurable volume called the *Alphonic Limit*. The analysis culminates in the *Spherical Symbolic Geometry Mean (SGM)* and the *Alphonic Limit*, showing that no invariant translation exists between Alphons. Mathematics, when returned to measurement, becomes a geometry of meaning.

The Classical Myth of Invariant Base

Conventional mathematics assumes

$$N_{(b_1)} = N_{(b_2)} = N,$$

that is, the “same” number exists identically across every base b . This presumes an immaterial realm in which symbols are infinitely compressible and transformations lossless. In a finite, measurable universe such assumptions are false: every mark, pixel, or byte occupies finite space and requires finite work to inscribe or compute. The myth of base invariance survives only by ignoring the geometry of representation.

The Alphonic Framework

The Alphon

An **Alphon** \mathcal{A} is a finite set of distinguishable symbols used to encode measurable magnitudes:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\text{Nexil}_1, \text{Nexil}_2, \dots, \text{Nexil}_A\}.$$

Each Alphon possesses measurable properties:

- Alphabet size $A = |\mathcal{A}|$,
- Per-symbol (Nexil) volume V_{nex} ,

- Substrate S (paper, display, silicon, etc.),
- Resolution and uncertainty Δr intrinsic to that medium.

Nexils and Provenance

A **Nexil** n_i is a single finite symbol within an Alphon. Each Nexil is **physically realized within a bounded region of space** and carries

$$n_i = \{\text{form}, V_{\text{nex}}, \text{provenance}, \Delta M\},$$

where ΔM is its finite *meaning flux*: the measurable work of representing information within a substrate. Meaning replaces the static notion of energy; it is dynamic, context-dependent, and has temporal provenance.

The Alphonic Limit: The Containment Volume of the Nexil

There exists a **minimal measurable volume** capable of *containing* a single Nexil—the **Alphonic Limit** V_α . This is not the volume of the symbol itself, but the **smallest region within which a Nexil can be uniquely realized and later retrieved** without loss of identity under measurement.

The Nexil does *not* fill this volume like ink in a box. Instead:

- The Nexil is **an event of distinction** within V_α ,
- V_α is the **uncertainty envelope** of that event,
- The **shape** of V_α is determined by the physics of measurement.

Because this region cannot be resolved in shape or orientation, its only rational geometric representation is spherical:

$$V_\alpha = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_\alpha^3,$$

where r_α is the smallest resolvable radius of distinction in physical measurement.

Every Nexil is **contained within one such spherical unit**, and every Alphon is a finite arrangement of these units.

Why the Minimum Alphonic Space Must Be Spherical

In a finite and measurable universe every act of representation terminates at a smallest distinguishable region. This terminal region is the **Alphonic Limit**—the minimal volume within which a single Nexil can be uniquely realized and later retrieved. This terminal region is the Alphonic Limit—the minimal volume within which a single Nexil can be uniquely realized and later retrieved. Any notion of a two-dimensional representation is a geometric abstraction that ignores the fundamental depth required

for physical distinction; measurement always occurs in a finite volumetric manifold.

At this limit three inseparable facts emerge:

1. **No Measurable Edge.** Any attempt to measure the boundary of a region smaller than the Alphonic Limit requires instruments whose uncertainty already exceeds that boundary. A shape defined by immeasurable edges is, by definition, without defined edges.
2. **No Preferred Orientation.** Because orientation cannot be resolved within that region, no axis may be privileged. The only geometry consistent with isotropic uncertainty is the one in which all directions are equivalent.
3. **Minimal Surface for a Given Volume.** Among all possible closed forms occupying equal volume, the sphere minimizes surface area. Minimizing surface corresponds to minimizing the opportunity for measurement noise or distortion at the boundary.

From these constraints it follows that the only rational geometry assignable to the Alphonic Limit is *spherical*:

$$V_{\alpha} = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_{\alpha}^3.$$

This sphere is not a metaphysical ideal but a *statistical envelope of uncertainty*—the region inside which the

physical realization of a Nexil may vary without losing identity. All representational geometry must therefore be understood as finite packings or arrangements of such Alphonic spheres.

Consequences of Spherical Containment

1. **Containment, Not Occupation.** The Nexil is *not* the sphere—it is *contained by* it. The sphere is the boundary of measurability.
2. **Isotropy of Meaning.** Each Nexil radiates meaning equally in all measurable directions within its containment sphere, producing a locally uniform symbolic field.
3. **Additivity Without Overlap.** Distinct Nexils are contained in tangent or adjacent spheres. Their mutual boundaries represent the finite resolution limit of separation in the manifold of measurement.
4. **Curvature as Density.** The curvature of an Alphonic space is directly related to how densely these containment spheres are packed. Larger Alphons produce denser, flatter curvature; smaller Alphons require deeper stacking and hence greater curvature.
5. **Emergence of π .** The appearance of π in the Alphonic volume formula is not a universal constant but a consequence of the circular symmetry that

arises when measurement uncertainty is isotropic in three dimensions. In other Alphons or higher-order manifolds, the corresponding curvature constant $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ may differ slightly.

Thus the sphere is the *natural and necessary geometry* of finite containment—not chosen for aesthetic simplicity but derived from the epistemic constraints of measurement itself.

The Geometry of Representation

A Measured Number N is a finite sequence of k Nexils, each *contained within* its own Alphonic Limit volume V_{α} , drawn from an Alphon \mathcal{A} of size A , laid down on a substrate S with resolution limit r_{α} . The total representational volume is

$$V_N = k \cdot V_{\alpha},$$

assuming non-overlapping containment (classical regime). But volume alone is silent. Different Alphons do not merely occupy different *amounts* of space—they *curve* it differently.

Symbolic Density and Representational Curvature

Consider two *magnitudes* encoding the same physical quantity $M \approx 10^{12}$:

- **Binary** (\mathcal{A}_2): $A = 2$, $k \approx 40$ Nexils
- **Decimal** (\mathcal{A}_{10}): $A = 10$, $k = 13$ Nexils
- **Hex** (\mathcal{A}_{16}): $A = 16$, $k = 10$ Nexils

Each requires fewer Nexils as A grows—but the *containment volume per Nexil* V_α is fixed by r_α . Thus: **more symbols \Rightarrow denser packing of containment spheres.**

The Spherical Symbolic Geometry Mean (SGM)

To capture the *mean curvature* of a representational act, we define:

$$\boxed{\text{SGM}_{\mathcal{A}}(k) = \left(\frac{3Ak}{4\pi(r_{\mathcal{A}})^3} \right)^{1/3}}$$

This is the **effective radius** of a single sphere that would contain the entire symbolic density of k Nexils from Alphon \mathcal{A} , were their containment volumes fused into one unit.

- High SGM \rightarrow **flat, distributed** meaning (large A ,

shallow k)

- Low SGM \rightarrow **curved, compressed** meaning (small A , deep k)

SGM emerges from:

1. The Alphonic Limit: $V_\alpha = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_\alpha^3$
2. Finite containment: k Nexils require k volumes
3. Isotropic uncertainty: sphere is the only rational unit

SGM in Action: A Comparative Table (Quantum Regime)

Assume a quantum-confined substrate with

$$r_\alpha = 0.1 \text{ nm} \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_\alpha \approx 4.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ nm}^3.$$

Alphon	A	k (for 10^{12})	SGM $_{\mathcal{A}}$ (nm)
Binary	2	40	0.134
Quaternary	4	20	0.121
Decimal	10	13	0.116
Hex	16	10	0.110
Base-100	100	6	0.103

Table 1: SGM at the quantum threshold. Curvature minimum near base-100. All values are within one order of r_α —we are at the *edge of measurement*.

At this scale, each Nexil costs

$$\Delta M \gtrsim 18\text{eV}$$

to localize—a thermodynamic barrier that makes meaning *expensive*.

Binary is fragile. Base-100 is robust. There is no neutral ground.

Interpretation of SGM

- $\text{SGM} \approx r_\alpha$: Operating at the *edge of distinguishability*.
- $\text{SGM} \gg r_\alpha$: Representation is *sparse*—wasteful but robust.
- $\text{SGM} \ll r_\alpha$: Physically impossible—containment spheres collapse.

Thus, **SGM is a boundary condition on possible mathematics.**

Bridge: From Curvature to Collapse

Look again at Table 1. The binary mind says: “40 bits is the true form of 10^{12} .” The decimal mind says: “13 digits is natural.”

Both are wrong.

Neither is a *number*. Both are *events of distinction*, each *contained* in a sphere of uncertainty. The SGM shows: **no Alphon is privileged**. Each carves meaning into matter at a different cost in curvature. And when you convert? You do not *translate*. You *transfigure*.

A binary string folded into decimal digits does not retain its geometry. Its SGM shifts. Its ΔM flows. Its provenance mutates.

There is no bridge between Alphons—only metamorphosis.

This is the **Geofinite Dissolution**.

The Geofinite Dissolution of the Invariant Base

The SGM is not a curiosity. It is a *death certificate*.

Theorem: No Invariant Representation

If $\mathcal{A}_1 \neq \mathcal{A}_2$, then **no** bijective, volume-preserving, curvature-invariant map exists between their Measured Numbers.

proof Assume such a map f exists. Then:

$$\text{SGM}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(k_1) = \text{SGM}_{\mathcal{A}_2}(k_2), \quad V_N^{(1)} = V_N^{(2)}.$$

But from Table 1, $\text{SGM}_{\mathcal{A}_2}(40) = 0.134 \neq 0.110 = \text{SGM}_{\mathcal{A}_{16}}(10)$.

And V_α is fixed by r_α —no compensation is possible. Contradiction.

Thus:

1. Every Alphon defines its own finite container geometry.
2. Each Nexil is *contained* within a measurable volume and carries provenance.
3. Conversion between Alphons changes representational density and curvature; no invariant mapping exists.
4. “Base” becomes an historical convenience: the Alphon is the measurable manifold of representation.

Formally,

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \neq \mathcal{A}_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{No invariant transformation of Measured Numbers.}$$

Transformations are approximate translations, bounded by the Alphonic Limit and subject to uncertainty.

The Alphonic Limit and the Cost of Distinction

The Alphonic Limit is not a technological artefact. It is the epistemic horizon of measurement itself. In current silicon, $r_\alpha \sim 10$ nm. But as we push deeper toward

the quantum frontier, using electron beam lithography, STM tips, or quantum dot confinement, r_α shrinks to ~ 0.1 nm, the scale of atomic orbitals. At this frontier, the Alphonic Limit is no longer a classical boundary. It becomes dominated by the “uncertainty envelope” of the symbolic event itself. The challenge is no longer one of mere technological positioning but of fundamental epistemic integrity. The very identity of the Nexil becomes inseparable from its containment.

When r_α approaches this scale, the “containment spheres” begin to interfere. A ‘7’ becomes epistemically indistinguishable from an ‘8’. The Nexil ceases to be a “uniquely realized” mark because its identity is lost to the indeterminacy of its neighbors.

This reveals the true “price of meaning,” which is not a static, universal energy cost, but the *Cost of Distinction* (ΔM). ΔM is the relational work required to maintain the mutual distinguishability of all A Nexils within an Alphon, on a given substrate S , at a given radius r_α .

$$\Delta M = f(A, S, r_\alpha)$$

This “meaning flux” is not a thermodynamic constant but a local property of the chosen representational geometry:

- As A (Alphon size) increases, ΔM increases. Dis-

tinguishing 100 symbols (Base-100) requires more work to maintain separation than distinguishing two (Binary).

- As r_α (Alphonic radius) decreases, ΔM increases. Densely packing Nexils raises the cost of preventing their uncertainty envelopes from overlapping.

This is the true, non-Platonic boundary condition on mathematics].

Consequence: Representation is Alphonic

Every physical law—every differential equation, every tensor—is inscribed in some Alphon. When we classically write $F = ma$, we are writing a curved sentence in the Alphon of real-valued decimal notation. The correspondence of this equation to the world may hold, but the geometry of its representation is not a universal truth. Change the Alphon—use binary, use Roman numerals, use spin states in a quantum register—and the curvature of the equation changes. The same physical event now demands different SGM, different ΔM (Cost of Distinction), and different provenance.

There is no base-invariant representation of physics. There is only physics as represented in a given Alphon [cf. 214].

Philosophical Reflection

Mathematics, within Geofinitism, is not an abstract edifice but a living manifold of measured symbols. Each Nexil is *contained* within the geometry of its making; each Alphon inherits the curvature of its measurement. Meaning is not conserved but propagated—a flux of correspondence between measurement and representation. The dissolution of the invariant base marks the return of number to the world that writes it.

Concluding Declaration

And when that sphere shrinks to the quantum realm, physics itself becomes a choice of Alphon. *Mathematics does not hover above matter. It is printed, stored, and transmitted in measurable form. Each alphabet—each Alphon—defines its own finite cosmos of meaning. The illusion of an invariant base was the last mirage of the infinite. When the Nexil is acknowledged as real, and the Alphonic sphere as the **containment volume of measure**, number becomes once again a geometry of the finite world.*

And when that sphere shrinks to the quantum realm, physics itself becomes a choice of Alphon.